Kondo cloud of single heavy quark in cold and dense matter

Shigehiro Yasui[1, *]

[1] *Department of Physics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan*

The Kondo effect is a universal phenomena observed in a variety of fermion systems containing a heavy impurity particle whose interaction is governed by the non-Abelian interaction. At extremely high density, I study the Kondo effect by color exchange in quark matter containing a single heavy (charm or bottom) quark as an impurity particle. To obtain the ground state with the Kondo effect, I introduce the condensate mixing the light quark and the heavy quark (Kondo cloud) in the mean-field approximation. I estimate the energy gain by formation of the Kondo cloud, and present that the Kondo cloud exhibits the resonant structure. I also evaluate the scattering cross section for the light quark and the heavy quark, and discuss its effect to the finite size quark matter.

PACS numbers: 12.39.Hg,21.65.Qr,12.38.Mh,72.15.Qm

arXiv:1608.06450v1 [hep-ph] 23 Aug 2016

Introduction.— Heavy (charm or bottom) quarks play the important role for studying the properties of nuclear matter and quark matter, whose dynamics is governed by Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD). They are good probes, because (i) due to their heavy masses [1], the heavy quark dynamics would be less affected by light quarks, and (ii) the heavy-quark symmetry for heavy flavor and spin makes the dynamics simple and provides a systematic understanding of spectroscopy and reaction [2, 3]. However, the impurity particle injected as a "probe" sometimes happens to cause a drastic changes of the medium properties. The Kondo effect is one of the well-known examples, as a few number of impurity particles can change the thermodynamic and transportation properties of the medium [4–6]. In this article, I discuss the Kondo effect in quark matter at low temperature and high density, called the QCD Kondo effect [7–10]. The QCD Kondo effect will be useful to study of high density matter, because heavy quarks can be produced by initial gluon dynamics in relativistic heavy ion collisions in accelerator facilities such as GSI-FAIR [11] and J-PARC and/or by high energy neutrino reactions in interior cores of neutron stars [10].

Historically, the Kondo effect was discovered to explain the logarithmic enhancement of electric resistance of metals containing a few magnetic (spin) impurities at low temperature [12]. Kondo found that the spin-exchange (non-Abelian) interaction between the conducting electrons and the spin impurities strongly enhances the effective interaction in low-energy scattering leading to the Landau pole and changes the system into the strong coupling one. There, not only the non-Abelian interaction, but also the Fermi surface (degenerate state in general) and the loop effect (virtual creation of particle-hole pairs) are important. So far, many theoretical methods to analyze the Kondo effect have been developed [4–6], and the Kondo effect is now recognized as a prototype of strong coupling problems in condensed matter systems [13–19].

The Kondo effect is possible to exist the nuclear/quark matter containing heavy hadrons (e.g. $\bar{D}$, $\bar{D}_s$, B, B_s mesons) [7, 20, 21], or heavy quarks (c, b quarks) [7–10] as heavy impurity particles, although their energy scales are different from the electron systems in laboratory [22]. In the strong interaction, in fact, several types of non-Abelian interaction are present: the spin exchange with SU(2) symmetry (e.g. for $\bar{D}_s$, $\bar{D}_s^*$ mesons) and the isospin exchange with SU(2) symmetry (e.g. for a $\bar{D}$ meson) in nuclear matter, and the color exchange with SU(3) symmetry (e.g. for a c quark) in quark matter. The Fermi surface and the loop effect exists naturally in nuclear/quark matter at low temperature. Hence, the condition for realizing the Kondo effect is satisfied.

The Kondo effect in nuclear/quark matter provides us with basic knowledge for heavy hadron/quark dynamics: (i) heavy-hadron–nucleon (heavy-quark–light-quark) interaction, (ii) modification of impurity properties by medium and (iii) change of nuclear/quark matter by impurity effect [23].

In the present study, I discuss the QCD Kondo effect in quark matter. So far, there have been perturbative analyses [7–9], and recently a non-perturbative study has been performed by the mean-field approximation for the ground state [10] [24]. The mean-field approach is simple, but it provides us with understanding the essential properties of the Kondo effect [25–30]. In this study, I consider the situation that there is a single heavy quark in quark matter, and apply the mean-field approach to study the ground state.

In the mean-field approach, I define the Kondo cloud by the condensate with light quark ψ and the heavy quark Ψ, i.e. the light-hole–heavy-quark condensate $\langle\bar{\psi}\Psi\rangle$ and the light-quark–heavy-antiquark condensate $\langle\bar{\Psi}\psi\rangle$ in the color singlet channel [10]. The formation of the condensate makes the system energetically stable. The Kondo cloud is analogous to the chiral condensate $\langle\bar{\psi}\psi\rangle$ such that a pair of antiquark and quark forms a condensate in QCD vacuum [31, 32]. In Ref. [10], by using the color current interaction between two quarks, it was demonstrated that the Kondo cloud is realized in quark matter at low temperature and high density, where the uniformity of spatial distribution of heavy quarks was supposed for an ideal situation. In this study, considering a single heavy

quark in quark matter, I will present that the Kondo cloud is realized as the resonant state in the ground state.

Color current interaction.— The interaction between the light quark (ψ) and the heavy quark (Ψ) is supplied by the gluon-exchange in QCD. To simplify the discussion, I use the contact interaction with zero range instead of the gluon-exchange interaction with finite range [33–36]. The essence of the discussion does not change for the QCD Kondo effect [8]. For the simple setting, we regard the light quark mass zero, and regard the heavy quark mass infinity large. As for the heavy quark, following the heavy-quark symmetry [2, 3], I consider the v-frame in which the heavy quark is at rest, and separate the heavy quark momentum as $p^\mu = m_Q v^\mu + k^\mu$ for the heavy quark mass m_Q, where $m_Q v^\mu$ is the on-mass-shell part (v^μ the four-velocity with $v^2 = 1$) and the off-mass-shell part k^μ whose scale is much smaller than m_Q. Correspondingly, I define the heavy quark effective field $\Psi_v = \frac{1+\not{v}}{2} e^{im_Q v\cdot x}\Psi$ by factoring out the on-mass-shell part and leaving only the off-mass-shell part from the original field Ψ [2, 3]. Notice $\bar\Psi_v\Psi_v = \Psi_v^\dagger\Psi_v$.

Based on the above setup, we give the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar\psi(i\partial\!\!\!/ + \mu\gamma^0)\psi + \bar\Psi_v v\cdot i\partial\Psi_v - G_c\sum_a \bar\psi\gamma^\mu T^a\psi\,\bar\Psi_v\gamma_\mu T^a\Psi_v - \lambda\Big(\bar\Psi_v\Psi_v - \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x})\Big), \tag{1}$$

with $\psi = (\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_{N_f})^t$ for $N_f = 2$ the light flavor number. In the right hand side, the first and second terms represent the kinetic terms for light and heavy quarks, respectively, with μ the chemical potential for light quarks. The third term is the interaction term with G_c the coupling constant. $T^a = \lambda^a/2$ ($a = 1, \ldots, N_c^2 - 1$; $N_c = 3$) are generators of color SU(N_c) group with λ^a the Gell-Mann matrices. This color exchange interaction mimics the one-gluon exchange interaction. The fourth term gives an important condition for the heavy impurity: the heavy quark exists as a static particle at the position $\vec{x} = \vec{0}$. For this, the constraint condition $\bar\Psi_v\Psi_v = \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x})$ is imposed with the Lagrange multiplier λ.

Color singlet Kondo cloud.— The interaction term in Eq. (1) is the four-fermi interaction, and the fermion fields ψ and Ψ_v cannot be integrated out in general. I consider the mean-field approximation. For this purpose, by using the relation $\sum_a (\lambda^a)_{ij}(\lambda^a)_{kl} = 2\delta_{il}\delta_{kj} - (2/N_c)\delta_{ij}\delta_{kl}$ for color indices $i, j, k, l = 1, 2, 3$, I rewrite the interaction term as a sum of $2(\bar\psi_\ell\gamma^\mu\Psi_v)(\bar\Psi_v\gamma_\mu\psi_\ell)$ and $-(2/N_c)(\bar\psi_\ell\gamma^\mu\psi_\ell)(\bar\Psi_v\gamma_\mu\Psi_v)$ for each light flavor $\ell = 1, \ldots, N_f$ including the sign by interchanging two fermion fields. I neglect however the latter term because it is irrelevant to the color exchange. For the former term, I perform the mean-field approximation as $\bar\psi_{\ell\alpha}\Psi_{v\delta}\bar\Psi_{v\gamma}\psi_{\ell\beta} \to \langle\bar\psi_{\ell\alpha}\Psi_{v\delta}\rangle\bar\Psi_{v\gamma}\psi_{\ell\beta} + \langle\bar\Psi_{v\gamma}\psi_{\ell\beta}\rangle\bar\psi_{\ell\alpha}\Psi_{v\delta} - \langle\bar\psi_{\ell\alpha}\Psi_{\delta}\rangle\langle\bar\Psi_{v\gamma}\psi_{\ell\beta}\rangle$ for the mean-field $\langle\bar\psi_{\ell\alpha}\Psi_\delta\rangle$, whose value is obtained by the self-consistent calculation or by minimizing the total energy. I define the gap function

$$\hat\Delta^{\mathbf{1}}_{\ell\delta\alpha} = \frac{G_c}{2}\langle\bar\psi_{\ell\alpha}\Psi_\delta\rangle, \tag{2}$$

in which the Dirac indices δ, α can be factorized, so that $\hat\Delta^{\mathbf{1}}_{\ell\delta\alpha} = \Delta^{\mathbf{1}}_\ell(\frac{1+\gamma_0}{2}(1 - \hat{k}\cdot\vec\gamma))_{\delta\alpha}$ with $\hat{k} = \vec{k}/|\vec{k}|$ for three-momentum of the light quark $\vec{k}$. I introduce the complex scalar quantity $\Delta^{\mathbf{1}}_\ell$ to measure the size of the gap function. I set $\Delta^{\mathbf{1}}_\ell = \Delta_{\mathbf{1}}$ for all ℓ by assuming the light flavor symmetry. In the mean-field approximation, the Lagrangian is changed to the bilinear form of (ψ, Ψ_v), and hence the energy eigenvalues of the system can be calculated straightforwardly. As a result, I obtain the total energy (thermodynamic potential) $\Omega_{\mathbf{1}}(\lambda, \Delta_{\mathbf{1}})$ as a function of λ and $\Delta_{\mathbf{1}}$, whose values are determined by the stationary condition for $\Omega_{\mathbf{1}}(\lambda, \Delta_{\mathbf{1}})$: $\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}\Omega_{\mathbf{1}}(\lambda, \Delta_{\mathbf{1}}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial\Delta_{\mathbf{1}}^*}\Omega_{\mathbf{1}}(\lambda, \Delta_{\mathbf{1}}) = 0$.

The thermodynamic potential of the heavy quark is

$$\Omega_{\mathbf{1}}(T, \lambda, \Delta_{\mathbf{1}}) = -\frac{1}{\beta}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\ln\big(1+e^{-\beta\omega}\big)\,2N_c\rho_{\mathbf{1}}(\omega)\mathrm{d}\omega + \frac{8N_f|\Delta_{\mathbf{1}}|^2}{G_c}V - \lambda, \tag{3}$$

with $\beta = 1/T$, the inverse temperature, where $\rho_{\mathbf{1}}(\omega)$ is the density-of-state of the heavy quark

$$\rho_{\mathbf{1}}(\omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi}\mathrm{Im}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial\omega}\ln\left(\omega_+ - \lambda - \sum_{\vec{k}}\frac{2N_f|\Delta_{\mathbf{1}}|^2}{\omega_+ + \mu - |\vec{k}|}\right), \tag{4}$$

as a function of the energy ω measured from the Fermi surface with $\omega_+ = \omega + i\eta$ for η an infinitely small and positive number. The coefficient $2N_c$ in the integrant in Eq. (3) represents the number of degrees of freedom of heavy quark, spin and color, and V is the volume of the system. Notice that the sum for $\vec{k}$ in Eq. (4) is taken over all momenta with different sizes and directions, and it represents the coherent sum of the light quarks for the coupling to the heavy quark. This reflects the non-conservation of the light quark three-momenta.

I calculate the thermodynamic potential (3) in the following. I introduce the cutoff parameter Λ in the ω-integral, and restrict the integral range to $[-\Lambda, \Lambda]$. This procedure is necessary for normalizing the integral due to the zero-range interaction in the present Lagrangian. Considering zero temperature as low-temperature limit ($\beta \to \infty$), I find that Eq. (3) can be approximated by a simple form

$$\Omega^0_{\mathbf{1}}(\lambda, \delta_{\mathbf{1}}) = \frac{2N_c}{\pi}\left(-\delta_{\mathbf{1}} + \lambda\arctan\frac{\delta_{\mathbf{1}}}{\lambda} + \frac{\delta_{\mathbf{1}}}{2}\ln\frac{\lambda^2 + \delta_{\mathbf{1}}^2}{\Lambda^2}\right) + \frac{8\pi}{\mu^2 G_c}\delta_{\mathbf{1}} - \lambda, \tag{5}$$

with the approximation $\sum_{\vec{k}} 2N_f|\Delta_{\mathbf{1}}|^2/(\omega_+ + \mu - |\vec{k}|) \simeq -(iN_f/\pi)\mu^2|\Delta_{\mathbf{1}}|^2 V = -i\delta_{\mathbf{1}}$ in Eq. (4) by neglecting the



FIG. 1. Left: the gaps $\delta_{\mathbf{1}}$ (solid curve) and $\delta_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}$ (dashed curve) as functions of μ. Right: the thermodynamics potentials $\Omega^0_{\mathbf{1}}$ (solid curve) and $\Omega^0_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}$ (dashed curve) as functions of μ.

real part and leaving the imaginary part only. Then, Eq. (4) becomes

$$\rho_{\mathbf{1}}(\omega) = \frac{1}{\pi}\frac{\delta_{\mathbf{1}}}{(\omega-\lambda)^2+\delta_{\mathbf{1}}^2}. \tag{6}$$

This represents the resonant state by Lorenzian with energy position $\omega = \lambda$ and width $\Gamma_{\mathbf{1}} = 2\delta_{\mathbf{1}}$.

From the stationary condition for $\Omega^0_{\mathbf{1}}(\lambda, \Delta_{\mathbf{1}})$, I obtain $\delta_{\mathbf{1}}$ and λ as

$$\delta_{\mathbf{1}} = \Lambda \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2N_c}\right)\exp\left(-\frac{4\pi^2}{N_c\,\mu^2 G_c}\right), \tag{7}$$

and $\lambda = \delta_{\mathbf{1}}/\tan(\pi/2N_c)$. By substituting them to Eq. (5), I obtain the thermodynamic potential

$$\Omega^0_{\mathbf{1}} = -\Lambda\frac{2N_c}{\pi}\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2N_c}\right)\exp\left(-\frac{4\pi^2}{N_c\,\mu^2 G_c}\right), \tag{8}$$

in the ground state.

For the numerical estimate, I use the coupling constant and cutoff parameter, $G_c = (9/2)2.0/\Lambda^2$ and $\Lambda = 0.65$ GeV, in the color current interaction in Eq. (1). Those numbers are fixed to reproduce the chiral condensate and the pion decay constant in vacuum by the Nambu–Jona-Lasinio (NJL) interaction [31, 32], which is obtained by the Fierz transformation from the color current interaction [33–36]. The numerical results of $\delta_{\mathbf{1}}$ and $\Omega^0_{\mathbf{1}}$ are shown in Fig. 1. For example, I obtain $\delta_{\mathbf{1}} = 0.027$ GeV ($\lambda = 0.048$ GeV) and $\Omega^0_{\mathbf{1}} = -0.052$ GeV at $\mu = 0.5$ GeV, where the binding energy of the color singlet Kondo cloud is 52 MeV.

Color anti-triplet Kondo cloud.— Instead of the color singlet channel, I may consider the color non-singlet channel. I investigate the color anti-triplet Kondo cloud, i.e. the light-particle–heavy-quark condensate and the light-hole–heavy-antiquark condensate, as an attractive channel in the interaction in Eq. (1). To find the color anti-triplet interaction term, I use the identity, $\sum_a(\lambda^a)_{ij}(\lambda^a)_{kl} = (1-1/N_c)\sum_{a:\mathrm{S}}(\lambda^a)_{ik}(\lambda^a)_{lj} - (1+1/N_c)\sum_{a:\mathrm{AS}}(\lambda^a)_{ik}(\lambda^a)_{lj}$, where S (AS) stands for the (anti)symmetric term for interchanging two of the color indices $i, j, k, l = 1, 2, 3$. As the color anti-triplet channel, I consider $(\bar\psi_{\ell\alpha}\lambda^2\bar\Psi^t_{v\gamma})(\Psi^t_{v\delta}\lambda^2\psi_{\ell\beta})$ for each light flavor $\ell = 1, \ldots, N_f$. The other antisymmetric terms with λ^5 and λ^7 can be changed to the one with λ^2 by unitary transformation. I apply the mean-field approximation as $\bar\psi_{\ell\alpha}\lambda^2\bar\Psi^t_{v\gamma}\Psi^t_{v\delta}\lambda^2\psi_{\ell\beta} \to \langle\bar\psi_{\ell\alpha}\lambda^2\bar\Psi^t_{v\gamma}\rangle\Psi^t_{v\delta}\lambda^2\psi_{\ell\beta} + \langle\Psi^t_{v\delta}\lambda^2\psi_{\ell\beta}\rangle\bar\psi_{\ell\alpha}\lambda^2\bar\Psi^t_{v\gamma} - \langle\bar\psi_{\ell\alpha}\lambda^2\bar\Psi^t_{v\gamma}\rangle\langle\Psi^t_{v\delta}\lambda^2\psi_{\ell\beta}\rangle$, including the sign by interchanging two fermion fields. I define the gap function

$$\hat\Delta^{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}_{\ell\gamma\alpha} = \left(1+\frac{1}{N_c}\right)\frac{G_c}{4}\langle\bar\psi_{\ell\alpha}\lambda^2\bar\Psi^t_{v\gamma}\rangle, \tag{9}$$

in which the Dirac indices δ, α can be factorized, so that $\hat\Delta^{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}_{\ell\gamma\alpha} = \Delta^{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}_{\ell}(\frac{1+\gamma_0}{2}(1-\hat k\cdot\vec\gamma))_{\gamma\alpha}$ with the complex scalar quantity $\Delta^{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}_{\ell}$. I set $\Delta^{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}_{\ell} = \Delta_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}$ for all ℓ by the light flavor symmetry. I notice that the color symmetry is broken from SU(N_c) to SU(2). This is analogous to the two-flavor diquark condensate (color superconductivity) [37–40].

The thermodynamic potential of the heavy quark is

$$\begin{aligned}&\Omega_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}(T,\lambda,\Delta_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}})\\ &= -\frac{1}{\beta}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\ln\left(1+e^{-\beta\omega}\right)\Big(4\rho_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}(\omega)+2(N_c-2)\rho'_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}(\omega)\Big)\mathrm{d}\omega\\ &\quad+\frac{4N_f|\Delta_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}|^2}{(1+1/N_c)G_c}V-\lambda,\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

where the density-of-state of the heavy quark is given by

$$\rho_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}(\omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi}\mathrm{Im}\frac{\partial}{\partial\omega}\ln\left(\omega_+ - \lambda - \sum_{\vec k}\frac{2N_f|\Delta_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}|^2}{\omega_+ + \mu - |\vec k|}\right), \tag{11}$$

and $\rho'_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}(\omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi}\mathrm{Im}\frac{\partial}{\partial\omega}\ln(\omega_+ - \lambda)$. The $\rho_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}(\omega)$ is the heavy quark state which couples to the light quarks, and the $\rho'_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}(\omega)$ is the one which does not couple. In Eq. (10), there are the coefficients by spin and color, 4 for $\rho_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}(\omega)$ (e.g. red, green for color SU(2) symmetry) and $2(N_c-2)$ for $\rho'_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}(\omega)$.

Similarly to the color singlet case, I restrict the ω-integral range to $[-\Lambda, \Lambda]$ in Eq. (10). Considering the zero temperature ($\beta\to\infty$), I obtain the simple form

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega^0_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}(\lambda,\delta_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}) &= \frac{4}{\pi}\left(-\delta_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}} + \lambda\arctan\frac{\delta_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}}{\lambda} + \frac{\delta_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}}{2}\ln\frac{\lambda^2+\delta^2_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}}{\Lambda^2}\right)\\ &\quad+\frac{16\pi}{(1+1/N_c)\mu^2G_c}\delta_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}} - \lambda,\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

for Eq. (10) with $\sum_{\vec k}2N_f|\Delta_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}|^2/(\omega_+ + \mu - |\vec k|) \simeq -(iN_f/\pi)\mu^2|\Delta_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}|^2V = -i\delta_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}$ in Eq. (11). By the stationary condition for $\Omega^0_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}$, I obtain $\delta_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}$ and λ as

$$\delta_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}} = \frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{2}}\exp\left(-\frac{4\pi^2}{(1+1/N_c)\,\mu^2G_c}\right), \tag{13}$$

and $\lambda = \delta_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}$. By substituting them to Eq. (12), I obtain the thermodynamic potential

$$\Omega^0_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}} = -\Lambda\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\pi}\exp\left(-\frac{4\pi^2}{(1+1/N_c)\,\mu^2G_c}\right), \tag{14}$$



FIG. 2. The cross sections as functions of ω for $\mu = 0.4$ GeV (thin line), 0.45 GeV (normal line) and 0.5 GeV (thick line). The behavior as a function of μ at $\omega = 0$ GeV is also shown.

in the ground state. Adopting the same parameter values used in the color singlet case, I obtain the numerical results of $\delta_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}$ and $\Omega^0_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}$ as shown in Fig. 1. For $\mu = 0.5$ GeV, for example, I obtain $\delta_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}} = 0.0018$ GeV ($\lambda = 0.0018$ GeV) and $\Omega^0_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}} = -0.0023$ GeV, where the binding energy of the color anti-triplet Kondo cloud is 2.3 MeV.

Competition between the two condensates.— It is an important problem to ask which channel of the Kondo cloud is realized in the ground state, the color singlet or the color anti-triplet. In Fig. 1, I find that the size of the color singlet gap $\delta_{\mathbf{1}}$ (or $|\Delta_{\mathbf{1}}|$) is larger than that of the color anti-triplet gap $\delta_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}$ (or $|\Delta_{\bar{\mathbf{3}}}|$). It indicates that the mixing strength of the light-hole–heavy-quark (the light-particle–heavy-antiquark) in the color singlet channel is stronger than that of the light-quark–heavy-quark (the light-hole–heavy-antiquark) in the color anti-triplet channel. This is seen also in the stability of the Kondo cloud. In Fig. 1, the thermodynamic potential of the color singlet Kondo cloud is lower than that of the color anti-triplet Kondo cloud, and hence the former is realized in the ground state.

The above conclusion is consistent with what is expected in the large N_c limit. In this limit, generally, the color singlet condensate survives, while the color non-singlet condensate vanishes [41]. In fact, by comparing Eq. (7) and Eq. (13), I find that the latter is suppressed by the small factor $\sim e^{-N_c}$ against the former in the 't Hooft limit, i.e. taking the large N_c limit by keeping $g^2 N_c \sim G_c N_c$ unchanged for the quark-gluon coupling constant g [41]. Hence, I confirm that the color singlet channel is more dominant than the color anti-triplet one.

Discussion.— The Kondo cloud affects the scattering of the light quark by the heavy quark. For the color singlet Kondo cloud, I calculate the cross section and estimate the mean-free path in the quark matter.

The phase shift of the scattering is given by $\Delta\delta(\omega) = \pi \int_{-\Lambda}^{\omega} \rho_{\mathbf{1}}(\omega')\mathrm{d}\omega'$. The scattering amplitude is $f(\omega) = (1/k)e^{i\Delta\delta(\omega)} \sin\Delta\delta(\omega)$ with momentum $k = \mu + \omega$, and the cross section is $\sigma(\omega) = 4\pi|f(\omega)|^2$. The numerical results are shown in Fig. 2. In low-temperature limit, the quarks with $\omega \simeq 0$ GeV on the Fermi surface dominantly contributes to the scattering process. The cross section at $\omega = 0$ GeV is also plotted in Fig. 2. The value of $\sigma(0)$ ranges from 13.6 mb to 4.2 mb for $\mu = 0.3 - 0.5$ GeV.

I evaluate the mean-free-path $\tau \simeq (\sigma\, n_q/N_f)^{-1}$ with $n_q = \mu^3/\pi$ the number density of light quarks including the factor of spin, light flavor and color. Notice that the number density per one flavor (n_q/N_f) contributes, because the coherent sum is taken for the light flavor (cf. Eq. (4)). As a result, I obtain $\tau \simeq 1.3 - 0.91$ fm for $\mu = 0.3 - 0.5$ GeV. Those values are comparable with the mean-distance of a light quark, $\ell_q \simeq n_q^{-1/3} = 0.96 - 0.58$ fm for $\mu = 0.3 - 0.5$ GeV. Therefore, I find that the Kondo cloud should strongly affect the light quark dynamics in quark matter.

The mean-free-path provided by the Kondo cloud gives us an important hint to study the Kondo effect in accelerator facilities. The spatial size of the quark matter, that can be produced in relativistic heavy ion collisions, would be the same order as the initial nucleus size. The obtained value of τ would be smaller than the size of the quark matter, hence the Kondo cloud can affect the properties of the finite size quark matter in experiments.

Conclusion.— I consider the Kondo effect in the quark matter containing a single heavy quark, and investigate the Kondo cloud as the condensate of the light quark and the heavy quark in the ground state. I conclude that the color singlet Kondo cloud is realized, where the Kondo cloud is represented by the resonant state.

As for observables, the Kondo cloud will be closely related to the heavy hadron production in relativistic heavy ion collisions, where either of a heavy quark and a heavy antiquark would be dressed by the color singlet Kondo cloud. As one scenario, the bound state like $c\bar{c}$ would resolve, and it leads to suppression of the J/ψ yield. As another scenario, because the heavy (anti)quark accompanies the light hole (quark) in the Kondo cloud, it should affect the coalescence process for the hadronization of the heavy (anti)quark, leading to the modifications of heavy hadron yields. To calculate the transportation coefficients is also an important problem. They are interesting subjects left for future studies.

Acknowledgments.— The author thanks K. Itakura and K. Suzuki for fruitful discussions and thanks also M. Oka for useful comments. The author thanks the Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, where this work was performed partially during the YITP-W-16-01 "MIN16 - Meson in Nucleus 2016 -". This work is supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (Grant No. 25247036, No. 15K17641 and No. 16K05366) from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS).

* yasuis@th.phys.titech.ac.jp

[1] K. A. Olive *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Chin. Phys. **C38**, 090001 (2014).
[2] M. Neubert, Phys. Rept. **245**, 259 (1994), arXiv:hep-ph/9306320 [hep-ph].
[3] A. V. Manohar and M. B. Wise, Camb. Monogr. Part. Phys. Nucl. Phys. Cosmol. **10**, 1 (2000).
[4] A. C. Hewson, *The Kondo Problem to Heavy Fermionss* (Cambridge University Press, 1993).
[5] K. Yosida, *Theory of Magnetism* (Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1996).
[6] K. Yamada, *Electron Correlation in Metals* (Cambridge University Press, 2004).
[7] S. Yasui and K. Sudoh, Phys. Rev. C **88**, 015201 (2013), arXiv:1301.6830 [hep-ph].
[8] K. Hattori, K. Itakura, S. Ozaki, and S. Yasui, Phys. Rev. D **92**, 065003 (2015), arXiv:1504.07619 [hep-ph].
[9] S. Ozaki, K. Itakura, and Y. Kuramoto, arXiv:1509.06966 [hep-ph].
[10] S. Yasui, K. Suzuki, and K. Itakura, (2016), arXiv:1604.07208 [hep-ph].
[11] B. Friman, C. Hohne, J. Knoll, S. Leupold, J. Randrup, R. Rapp, and P. Senger, Lect. Notes Phys. **814**, pp.1 (2011).
[12] J. Kondo, Prog. Theor. Phys. **32**, 37 (1964).
[13] D. Goldhaber-Gordon, H. Shtrikman, D. Mahalu, D. Abusch-Magder, U. Meirav, and M. A. Kastner, Nature **391**, 156 (1998).
[14] S. M. Cronenwett, T. H. Oosterkamp, and L. P. Kouwenhoven, Science **281**, 540 (1998), arXiv:9804211 [cond-mat].
[15] W. G. van der Wiel, S. D. Franceschi, T. Fujisawa, J. M. Elzerman, S. Tarucha, and L. P. Kouwenhoven, Science **289**, 2105 (2000), arXiv:0009405 [cond-mat].
[16] H. Jeong, A. M. Chang, and M. R. Melloch, Science **293**, 2221 (2001).
[17] J. Park, A. N. Pasupathy, J. I. Goldsmith, C. Chang, Y. Yaish, J. R. Petta, M. Rinkoski, J. P. Sethna, H. D. Abruña, P. L. McEuen, and D. C. Ralph, Nature **417**, 722 (2002).
[18] Y. Nishida, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 135301 (2013).
[19] K. L. Hur, Nature **526**, 203 (2015).
[20] S. Yasui, Phys. Rev. **C93**, 065204 (2016), arXiv:1602.00227 [hep-ph].
[21] S. Yasui and K. Sudoh, arXiv:1607.07948 [hep-ph].
[22] See Ref. [42] for early application of the Kondo effect to deformed nuclei.
[23] A. Hosaka, T. Hyodo, K. Sudoh, Y. Yamaguchi, and S. Yasui, (2016), arXiv:1606.08685 [hep-ph].
[24] See Refs. [20, 21] for application to charm/bottom nuclei.
[25] F. Takano and T. Ogawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. **35**, 343 (1966).
[26] A. Yoshimori and A. Sakurai, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **46**, 162 (1970).
[27] C. Lacroix and M. Cyrot, Phys. Rev. B **20**, 1969 (1979).
[28] M. Eto and Y. V. Nazarov, Phys. Rev. B **64**, 085322 (2001).
[29] T. Yanagisawa, J. Phys.: Conference Series **603**, 012014 (2015), arXiv:1502.07898 [cond-mat.str-el].
[30] T. Yanagisawa, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **84**, 074705 (2015), arXiv:1505.05295 [cond-mat.str-el].
[31] Y. Nambu and G. Jona-Lasinio, Phys. Rev. **122**, 345 (1961).
[32] Y. Nambu and G. Jona-Lasinio, Phys. Rev. **124**, 246 (1961).
[33] S. Klimt, M. F. M. Lutz, U. Vogl, and W. Weise, Nucl. Phys. **A516**, 429 (1990).
[34] U. Vogl, M. F. M. Lutz, S. Klimt, and W. Weise, Nucl. Phys. **A516**, 469 (1990).
[35] S. P. Klevansky, Rev. Mod. Phys. **64**, 649 (1992).
[36] T. Hatsuda and T. Kunihiro, Phys. Rept. **247**, 221 (1994), arXiv:hep-ph/9401310 [hep-ph].
[37] M. Buballa, Phys. Rept. **407**, 205 (2005), arXiv:hep-ph/0402234 [hep-ph].
[38] M. G. Alford, A. Schmitt, K. Rajagopal, and T. Schäfer, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 1455 (2008), arXiv:0709.4635 [hep-ph].
[39] K. Fukushima and T. Hatsuda, Rept. Prog. Phys. **74**, 014001 (2011), arXiv:1005.4814 [hep-ph].
[40] K. Fukushima and C. Sasaki, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. **72**, 99 (2013), arXiv:1301.6377 [hep-ph].
[41] G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. **B72**, 461 (1974).
[42] K. Sugawara-Tanabe and K. Tanabe, Phys. Rev. C **19**, 545 (1979).